

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,541	12/29/2003	Vibeke Strand	252312007900	8533
25226	7590 10/05/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EWOLDT, GERALD R	
755 PAGE MILL RD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•	•		1644	
			DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,541	STRAND ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		G. R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.	1644			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. by period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2003				
· —	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
/	,—					
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
· <u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· —	•					
'=	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
اکاره	8) Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers		•			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	t(s)					
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date			
	i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
- apei	110(S)/IVIAII Date	6)	•			

Application/Control Number: 10/748,541

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-38 and 40 drawn to a method of stabilizing or improving the quality of life in an SLE patient comprising administering a dsDNA epitope to said patient, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1.
- II. Claim 39, drawn to a method of stabilizing or improving the quality of life in an SLE patient comprising administering an unspecified treatment to said patient, classified in Class 424, subclass 278.1.
- 2. Inventions I-II are different methods. The methods are unrelated. In particular Claim 39 encompasses a method of treatment yet recites no actual methods steps nor any particular reagents and thus comprises an omnibus type claim.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. This application also contains inventions drawn to patentably distinct species. Should Applicant elect Group I, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to:
- A) elect a specific dsDNA epitope such as one of those set forth in Claim 5,
- B) elect an epitope either comprising a conjugate such as that set forth in Claim 7, or an epitope consisting only of DNA and
- C) list all Claims readable thereon including those subsequently added. Currently Claims1-6, 8, 9, 11-16, 18-22, 24-28, 30-35, 37, 38, and 40 are generic.
- 5. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1644

The different dsDNA epitopes comprise different compounds with different structures and biological properties. For example a naked ssDNA epitope would be highly unstable whereas a dsDNA conjugate would likely be highly stable. Therefore, the species are independent and patentable over one another.

- 6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Gerald Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0843. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 am to 5:30 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841.
- 8. Please Note: Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Additionally, the Technology Center receptionist can be reached at (571) 272-1600.

G.R. Ewoldt, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Technology Center 1600